

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trudemark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.iispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,591	05/14/2001	Meng-Huang Liu	4006-122	6739
75	90 03/26/2003			
LOWE HAUPTMAN GOPSTEIN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP			EXAMINER	
Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road		RODRIGUEZ, ISABEL		
Alexandria, VA	. 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	09/853,591	LIU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Isabel Rodriguez	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl	36(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed	
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 141			
· •	nis action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 			
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9,17-19,21 and 22</u> is/are reject	ed.		
7) Claim(s) <u>6,10-16,20 and 23-28</u> is/are objected	to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 May 2001</u> is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		` '	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ ∈	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has t	peen received.	
Attachment(s)	ac priority under 35 U.S.C	. 33 120 anu/01 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/853,591

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1-3b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, 9, 17, 19, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ker et al. (US 5,631,793).
- a) Regarding claim 1, Ker et al. discloses an electrostatic discharge protection device, applied to a mixed voltage circuit assembly, said device comprising a RC controlled circuit subassembly (Rp, Cp1) and a first transistor (Mp1).
- b) Regarding claim 2, Ker et al. discloses an ESD device wherein the RC Controlled circuit comprises a resistance (Rp) and a capacitance (Cp1)
- c) Regarding claim 4, 17, Ker et al. discloses an ESD device wherein the first transistor is a PMOS transistor (Mp1).

Art Unit: 2836

1

d) Regarding claim 5, 19, Ker et al. discloses an ESD protection device wherein the first PMOS transistor is further located in a first N-well. See fig. 5.

- e) Regarding claim 7, 21, Ker et al. discloses an ESD device wherein the RC Controlled circuit comprises a resistance (Rn) and a capacitance (Cn1)
- f) Regarding claim 9, 22, Ker et al. discloses an ESD device wherein the first transistor is a NMOS transistor (Mn1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 8, 18, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ker et al.

Ker et al. discloses an electrostatic discharge protection device, applied to a mixed voltage circuit assembly, said device comprising a RC controlled circuit subassembly and a first transistor wherein the RC Controlled circuit comprises a resistance and a capacitance. Ker et al. does not specify that the RC time constant has a value of 0.1 to 10 microseconds. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the RC constant value to any desired value as long as it compatible with the requirements of other elements in the circuit in order to properly perform the protection function of the ESD protection device. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result

Application/Control Number: 09/853,591

Art Unit: 2836

effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6, 10-16, 20, and 23-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 6, 10-16, 20, and 23-28 disclose, inter alia, an electrostatic discharge protection device,
applied to a mixed voltage circuit assembly, said device comprising a RC controlled circuit
subassembly and a first transistor wherein the RC Controlled circuit comprises a resistance and a
capacitance and further comprising a second transistor in a specific configuration.

The references of record do not teach or suggest the aforementioned limitation, nor would it be obvious to modify those references to include such limitation.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isabel Rodriguez whose telephone number is 703-305-4761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 703-308-3119. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/853,591

Art Unit: 2836

Page 5

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7704 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

IR

March 21, 2003

BRIAN SIRCUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800